EXHIBIT A

SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

GUCCI AMERICA, INC., a California Corporation; SCOTT FANSLOW, an Individual; and DOES 1 through 25, Inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): MARCELA VAVERKOVA, an Individual

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
Gounty of Los Angeles

AUG 2 8 2015

Sherri H. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Judi Lara, Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dlas, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una ilamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitlo web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: Stanley Mosk Courthouse

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): 111 North Hill Street Los Angeles CA 90012 CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):

BC 5 9 2 9 1 0

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

	90260) 5723 Melrose Avenue	, Los Angeles CA 900	038 Phone: (310) 826-63	300
DATE: 08/26/2015 (Fecha)	SHERRI R. CARTER	Clerk, by (Secretario)	hidi Lara	, Deputy (Adjunto)
	summons, use Proof of Service of Sesta citatión use el formulario Proof	f of Service of Summons, (
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON S 1. as an individual defer 2. as the person sued u		(specify):	
člne.	3. under: CCP 416.10	: (corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor	r)
MR 58 5012	CCP 416.20	(defunct corporation) (association or partnership y):	CCP 416.70 (conse	ervatee)
	by personal delivery	on factor.		Page 1 of 1

Cas	2:15-cv-08330-DMG-FFM Document 1	-1 Filed 10/23/15 Page 3 of 49 Page ID #:9
	#	
1	RODNEY MESDIANI (SDNI 194975)	
*	RODNEY MESRIANI (SBN 184875) PEGAH SHARIFI (SBN 290260)	CONFORMED COPY
2	MESRIANI LAW GROUP	ORIGINAL FILED
3	A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATIO	N County of Los Angeles
_	510 Arizona Avenue, Santa Monica, CA 90401	AUG 28 2015
4	Tel: (310) 826-6300	
5	Fax: (310) 820-1258	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Cleri By: Judi Lara, Depuly
_	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARCELA VAVER	-
6	Attorneys for Flaminii MARCELA VAVER	AVOA
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1	SOI BIRGIN GOORI OF	THE STATE OF CALAFORNIA
9	FOR THE COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES
10		BC 5 9 2 9 1 0
11	MARCELA VAVERKOVA, an	CASE NO.
. 11	Individual;	
12	The state of the s	COMPLAINT FOR:
13	Plaintiff,	1 PRECENTING PROCESSION
1	V,	1. PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION; 2. PREGNANCY HARASSMENT;
14	GUCCI AMERICA INC. a California	3. FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE
15	GUCCI AMERICA, INC., a California Corporation; SCOTT FANSLOW, an	PREGNANCY;
16	Individual; and DOES 1 through 25,	4. FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN THE
10	Inclusive,	INTERACTIVE PROCESS OF ACCOMMODATION OF
17	L.	PREGNANCY;
18	Defendants.	5. GENDER-BASED
ä	polondanis.	DISCRIMINATION
19	12.0. A.	6. GENDER-BASED HARASSMENT 7. FAILURE TO PREVENT
20	- Control of the Cont	DISCRIMINATION AND
21		HARASSMENT;
Į.	EA HEARTean	8. FAILURE TO CORRECT AND
22	1997	REMEDY DISCRIMINATION
23	A. C.	AND HARASSMENT; 9. RETALIATION FOR ENGAGING
24		IN A PROTECTED ACTIVITY
24		10. RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF
25		FEHA, FMLA & CFRA;
26		11. WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY;
ŀ		12. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF
27		EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;
28		
i i		u por a dem
		1
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

COMPLAINT

5

13. NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff MARCELA VAVERKOVA, by and through her counsel, claims and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff MARCELA VAVERKOVA (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is an individual who, at all times relevant to this action, resided in Los Angeles County, State of California.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant GUCCI AMERICA, INC. (hereafter "Defendant Gucci") is a New York Corporation, lawfully doing substantial business in Los Angeles County, State of California and was Plaintiff's employer at all times relevant herein.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant SCOTT FANSLOW (hereafter "Defendant Fanslow") is a Manager at Defendant Gucci and, based upon information and belief, is a resident of Los Angeles County, State of California, at all times relevant herein.
- 4. The true names and/or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise of Defendants Does 1 25, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore now sues said Defendants pursuant to *California Civil Code* section 474 by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to insert their true names and/or capacities upon ascertainment, or alternatively, conform the pleadings to proof at the time of arbitration. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at all times relevant herein each such fictitiously named Defendant was and is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's injuries and/or damages were and are directly and/or proximately caused thereby.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that each such fictitiously named Defendant is directly and/or indirectly liable on one or more of the causes of action set forth

herein. Defendant Gucci, individual Defendant and Does 1 through 25 are sometimes referred to collectively herein as "Defendants."

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that except as may otherwise be required or presumed by law, at all times herein mentioned, each and every one of the Defendants was the agent, servant, employee and/or joint venturer of his/her/its co-Defendant, and was, as such, in doing the things hereinafter mentioned, acting within the scope, course and authority of such agency, employment and/or joint venture.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. By this reference, Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 8. In or around October of 2004, Plaintiff became employed by Defendant Gucci as a Sales Associate. Plaintiff's duties included, but were not limited to, assisting clients, building and maintaining client relationships, educating clients about the company's products, heritage and history, maintaining company visual presentation standards, and meeting sales quotas.
- 9. Throughout Plaintiff's employment at Defendant Gucci, she performed her duties diligently and responsibly. For the past ten (10) years, Plaintiff was a consistent sales performer, finishing each year with the highest sales in her department. Plaintiff even received a "Thank You" letter from Defendant Gucci's Executive Regional Director, Mr. Greg Stewart, for her excellent sales performance. In fact, Mr. Stuart acknowledged that "Gucci Beverly Hills' success is contingent upon passionate employees such as you [Plaintiff]."
- 10. Notably, prior to the incidents of discrimination, harassment and her eventual wrongful termination, Plaintiff was never written up nor subjected to any disciplinary action. Indeed, Plaintiff was a passionate and hardworking employee who valued her job. However, instead of rewarding Plaintiff for her exemplary service, Defendants subjected Plaintiff to discriminatory, harassing and retaliatory conduct by reason of her reported pregnancy, pregnancy/maternity-related leaves and FMLA.
- 11. In or around November of 2013, Plaintiff informed Defendant Fanslow about her pregnancy, her expected date of delivery, and her forthcoming maternity leave. Defendant Fanslow

obviously did not take said announcement very well as he started giving Plaintiff a hard time just a couple of days thereafter.

- 12. On or about November 23, 2013, Defendant Fanslow repeatedly questioned Plaintiff regarding her shoes, insisting that she needed to wear a Gucci shoe at work or to get a doctor's note, which she had already submitted. Plaintiff was previously diagnosed with ACL knee injury and Defendant Fanslow was aware of how such injury now affected Plaintiff. More specifically, Plaintiff told Defendant Fanslow that "she was having difficulty walking because of the pregnancy weight."
- 13. On or about November 30, 2013, during a morning store meeting Defendant Fanslow singled Plaintiff out by unreasonably demanding that she should reach a Pre-Sale goal of \$40,000 in four (4) days.
- 14. On or about December 2, 2013, Defendant Fanslow insisted that Plaintiff should use a Bluetooth headset in following-up with her clients. Plaintiff refused as she was wary of Bluetooth radiation which may possibly lead to health problems for her unborn child and told Defendant Fanslow about this.
- On or about December 7, 2013, a particularly cold day, Plaintiff was working and sweating hard during a Weekend Sale. Fully aware of Plaintiff's sweat, condition and that it was a very cold day, Defendant Fanslow opened the store's back door. Plaintiff asked Defendant Fanslow to kindly close the door as she was pregnant and could not afford to get sick because she is sweating and cold air is blowing onto her and she cannot take any medication because she is pregnant. To Plaintiff's dismay, Defendant Fanslow simply shrugged her off and kept said door open all day long. True enough, Plaintiff became sick later. To further retaliate against Plaintiff, Defendant Fanslow started taking away Plaintiff's customers and giving them to her co-workers. Plaintiff complained on numerous occasions that those were her customers but to no avail.
- 16. On or about December 14, 2013, Plaintiff felt obliged to continue working despite the pain caused by her pregnancy complications and suffering from very swollen feet. As the store was extremely busy with clients, Plaintiff barely had time to drink water or take a break, making her feel nauseous. At midday, Plaintiff asked Defendant Fanslow if she could clock out

early. Indifferently, Defendant Fanslow dismissed Plaintiff, saying that "[Plaintiff] ought to present a doctor's note first."

- 17. On or about December 26, 2013, Plaintiff went to Santa Monica Orthopedic and Sports Medicine Group to have her leg pains and swollen feet checked. The attending physician then wrote Plaintiff a work modification.
- 18. The following day, December 27, 2013, Plaintiff presented her doctor's note for a work modification reducing her days and hours of work and prohibiting prolonged standing at work. Defendant Fanslow coldly replied that he would not approve such request for work modification unless the New York Human Resource Office told him otherwise. After repeated pleas to Defendants, Plaintiff was allowed to have a modified workweek schedule.
- 19. On or about December 28, 2013, during a morning store meeting, Defendant Fanslow harassed Plaintiff for not reaching her monthly sales target. Plaintiff, in her own defense, again complained that her sales had been taken away from her and given to her co-workers, but to no avail.
- 20. In or around January of 2014, Plaintiff felt extremely stressed after learning that Defendant Fanslow continuously scheduled her for weekly "stock work" despite full knowledge of Plaintiff's difficult condition and restrictions.
- 21. On or about January 17, 2014, Defendant Fanslow scheduled Plaintiff to work from 8:00 in the morning up to 6:30 in the evening. Plaintiff, cautious that such a long workday could further complicate her pregnancy, protested and reminded Defendant Fanslow of her delicate condition and her doctor's advice to limit her work to not more than six (6) hours per day.
- 22. On or about January 30, 2014, with all the stress, stock work and harassing treatment from Defendants, Plaintiff suffered excruciating pain in her lower abdomen while in the course and scope of her employment at Defendant's location. Finding Plaintiff's pregnancy extremely delicate, her doctor ordered Plaintiff to stop working, placing Plaintiff on complete bed rest due to pregnancy complications until after Plaintiff gave birth. This was also the last day that Plaintiff was able to report to work.
 - 23. On May 16, 2014, Plaintiff delivered her baby through caesarian section.

- 24. On or about August 20, 2014, Plaintiff sent Defendant Fanslow an email informing the him of her intention to use her available four (4) week vacation right after her CFRA leave which was to end on October 3, 2014.
- 25. On or about August 23, 1014, Defendant Fanslow replied, virtually shrugging off Plaintiff's request, directing Plaintiff to speak with the Human Resources instead. Plaintiff contacted HR and HR stated that they would get back to her.
- 26. On or about September 19, 2014, Plaintiff asked Defendant Fanslow about her work schedule and return date. The following day, Defendant Fanslow replied: "I spoke to HR and they informed me that they have you returning back to work on October 3, 2014. Please connect with HR. We are unable to e-mail schedules."
- 27. On or about October 3, 2014, Plaintiff informed Defendant Fanslow and HR that she would not be able to return to work yet due to child care difficulties and her ill mother who was suffering from cancer. Plaintiff then requested for additional time-off from October 3 to October 22, 2014 to deal with these difficulties. To Plaintiff's dismay, Defendant Fanslow never replied.
- 28. On or about October 10, 2014, Plaintiff's child was assessed by her doctor to be suffering from separation anxiety and could not yet tolerate being separated from her mother. A doctor's note was issued in which Plaintiff was advised to extend her leave.
- 29. On October 14, 2014, Plaintiff's mother who had long been battling against cancer passed away.
- 30. Plaintiff appealed to Defendants once again for additional time-off to attend to her mother's final rites and, at the same time, negotiate her child's needs. Plaintiff sent Michelle Trujillo-Calix ("Trujillo-Calix"), Defendant Gucci's Benefits Manager, a copy of said October 10, 2014 doctor's note to further support her request for additional time-off.
- 31. On or about October 22, 2014, Plaintiff received an email from Ms. Trujillo-Calix acknowledging receipt of said doctor's note. On that same day, Ms. Trujillo-Calix called informing Plaintiff that her request for additional time off was denied and that she was required to return to work on October 26, 2014. Plaintiff again pleaded for just a little more time off and stated that she

had enough vacation time to cover her leave. Ms. Trujillo-Calix stated, "I will check and call you back."

- 32. To Plaintiff's dismay, Ms. Trujillo-Calix never called her back, instead on or about October 28, 2014, Plaintiff received a mail from Ms. Trujillo-Calix saying that her employment with Defendant Gucci has been terminated as she was deemed to have voluntarily resigned for failing to report back to work on October 26, 2014.
- 33. At this point, Plaintiff could only find herself crying with all the distress she had been made to go through by Defendants' harassment, discrimination and retaliation against her. Plaintiff became so miserable and felt helpless by Defendants' total disregard to her rights as a working mother who had to attend to her newborn child's special needs. This was compounded by Defendants' utter indifference to Plaintiff's and her family's period of mourning.
- 34. Evidently, the acts committed by Defendant Gucci were done to harass, discriminate and retaliate against Plaintiff because of her reported pregnancy and her lawful exercise of her FMLA and CFRA rights. Ultimately, Plaintiff's employment with Defendant Gucci was unlawfully terminated without real, substantial, and compelling reason.
- 35. In view of the foregoing, Defendants' conduct caused Plaintiff such embarrassment, humiliation, grief and emotional distress, forcing Plaintiff to seek medical attention. In addition, Plaintiff has lost and continues to lose income and benefits as a result of Defendants' conduct.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION

AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 36. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. Plaintiff was at all times hereto an "employee" within the meaning of California Government Code § 12926(c) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c), which prohibit pregnancy discrimination in employment.
 - 38. Defendant Gucci was at all material times an "employer" as defined by California

Government Code § 12926(d) and within the meaning of California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c) and, as such, was barred from discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of pregnancy, as set forth in California Government Code § 12940.

- 39. Defendant Gucci has discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her pregnancy in violation of California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c), Article I of the California Constitution and related statutes, by engaging in the course of conduct more fully set forth in the General Allegations and all paragraphs stated above.
- 40. As a result of Defendant Gucci's unlawful discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer (a) substantial humiliation, serious mental anguish, emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past and future earnings, and employment benefits and opportunities, on account of which Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages. The exact amount and nature of such damages exceed the jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.
- 41. As more fully set forth above, the pregnancy discrimination by Defendant Gucci was committed intentionally, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, and fraudulently with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff. Such acts amounted to oppression, fraud, and malice, as described in California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendant.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

PREGNANCY HARASSMENT

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 42. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 43. Plaintiff was at all times hereto an "employee" within the meaning of California Government Code § 12926(c) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c), which prohibit pregnancy harassment in employment.

- 44. Defendant Gucci was at all material times an "employer" within the meaning of California Government Code § 12926(d) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c) and, as such, was barred from harassing and discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of pregnancy possessed or thought to be possessed by an employee, as set forth in California Government Code § 12940.20.
- 45. Individual Defendant Fanslow is the manager of Defendant Gucci, and as such, owed a duty to the Plaintiff to refrain from engaging in harassment and to take all reasonable steps to prevent and correct unlawful pregnancy discrimination and harassment in the workplace.
- 46. Defendants harassed Plaintiff on the basis of pregnancy, in violation of California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c), Article I of the California Constitution and related statutes by engaging in the course of conduct more fully set forth in the General Allegations stated above.
- 47. As a proximate result of Defendants' harassment of Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered
 (a) humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past
 and future earnings and employment benefits and opportunities; all on account of which Plaintiff
 is entitled to compensatory damages. The amount and nature of such damages exceed the
 jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave
 to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of
 trial.
 - 48. As more fully set forth above, the pregnancy harassment by Defendants was committed intentionally, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, and fraudulently with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff. Such acts amounted to oppression, fraud, and malice, as described in California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendants.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE PREGNANCY

AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

49. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation

- 50. Plaintiff at all times hereto was an "employee" within the meaning of California Government Code §12926(c) and California Government Code §\$12940 (a) and (c), which prohibit pregnancy harassment/discrimination in employment.
- 51. Defendant Gucci was at all material times an "employer" within the meaning of California Government Code §12926(d) and California Government Code §\$12940(a) and (c), and, as such, was barred from harassing/discriminating against Plaintiff on the basis of pregnancy, as set forth in California Government Code §12940.
- 52. At the time of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant Gucci, she was confirmed to be pregnant, which requires her to take pregnancy-related leaves, as well as other pregnancy-related needs.
- 53. Despite having knowledge of Plaintiff's pregnancy, Defendant Gucci failed to provide Plaintiff with a reasonable accommodation for her pregnancy-related needs.
- 54. As a proximate result of Defendant Gucci's failure to accommodate Plaintiff's pregnancy-related needs, Plaintiff has suffered (a) humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past and future earnings, and employment benefits and opportunities; all on account of which Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages. The amount and nature of such damages exceed the jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.
- Plaintiff's pregnancy-related needs was committed intentionally, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, and fraudulently with a conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff. Such acts amounted to oppression, fraud, and malice, as described in California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendant.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN THE INTERACTIVE PROCESS OF ACCOMMODATION OF PREGNANCY

AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 56. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 57. Plaintiff was at all times hereto an "employee" within the meaning of California Government Code §12926(c) and California Government Code §§12940(a) and (c), which prohibit pregnancy/medical condition harassment/discrimination in employment.
- 58. Defendant Gucci was at all material times an "employer" within the meaning of California Government Code §12926(d) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c) and, as such, was barred from harassment/discrimination of Plaintiff on the basis of pregnancy, perceived pregnancy, or medical condition possessed or thought to be possessed by an employee, as set forth in California Government Code §12940.
- 59. At the time of Plaintiff's employment with Defendant Gucci, she was suffering from a condition that substantially limits her major life activities.
- 60. Despite having notice of Plaintiff's condition, and notice of Plaintiff's request for a reasonable accommodation, Defendant Gucci failed to engage in a timely, good faith, interactive process with Plaintiff to determine effective reasonable accommodations in violation of California Government Code §12940(n).
- 61. As a result of Defendant Gucci's failure to engage in the interactive process of accommodation of her known pregnancy, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer (a) substantial humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past and future earnings, and employment benefits and opportunities, on account of which Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages. The exact amount and nature of such damages exceed the jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.
 - 62. As more fully set forth above, Defendant Gucci's failure to engage in the interactive

process to accommodate Plaintiff's known pregnancy was committed intentionally, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, and fraudulently with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff. Such acts amounted to oppression, fraud, and malice, as described in California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendant.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

GENDER-BASED DISCRIMINATION

AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 63. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 64. Plaintiff was at all times herein an "employee" within the meaning of California Government Code §§ 12926(c) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c).
- 65. Defendant Gucci was at all material times an "employer" within the meaning of California Government Code §§ 12926(d) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c) and, as such, was barred from discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of sex (gender), as set forth in California Government Code § 12940.
- 66. Defendant Gucci has discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of sex (gender), in violation of California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (j)(1), Article I of the California Constitution and related statutes by engaging in the course of conduct more fully set forth in the General Allegations stated above.
- 67. As a result of Defendant Gucci's gender-based discrimination against Plaintiff. Plaintiff has suffered (a) humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past and future earnings and employment benefits and opportunities; all on account of which Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages. The exact amount and nature of such damages exceed the jurisdictional limit of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at time of trial.

68. As more fully set forth above, the gender-based discrimination by Defendant Gucci was done intentionally, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, and fraudulently with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, within the meaning of California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendant.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

GENDER-BASED HARASSMENT

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 69. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 70. Plaintiff was at all times hereto an "employee" within the meaning of California Government Code § 12926(c) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c), which prohibit disability harassment in employment.
- 71. Defendant Gucci was at all material times an "employer" within the meaning of California Government Code § 12926(d) and California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c) and, as such, was barred from harassing and discriminating in employment decisions on the basis of disability possessed or thought to be possessed by an employee, as set forth in California Government Code § 12940.20.
- 72. Individual Defendant Fanslow is the manager of Defendant Gucci, and as such, owed a duty to the Plaintiff to refrain from engaging in harassment and to take all reasonable steps to prevent and correct unlawful nonsexual gender harassment in the workplace.
- 73. Defendants harassed Plaintiff on the basis of sex (gender), in violation of California Government Code §§ 12940(a) and (c), Article I of the California Constitution and related statutes by engaging in the course of conduct more fully set forth in the General Allegations stated above.
- 74. As a proximate result of Defendants' harassment of Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered

 (a) humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past
 and future earnings and employment benefits and opportunities; all on account of which Plaintiff

is entitled to compensatory damages. The amount and nature of such damages exceed the jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.

75. As more fully set forth above, the disability harassment by Defendants was committed intentionally, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, and fraudulently with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff. Such acts amounted to oppression, fraud, and malice, as described in California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendants.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PREVENT UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 76. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 77. Defendant Gucci failed to take all reasonable steps to prevent the discrimination against and harassment and retaliation of Plaintiff from occurring, in violation of California Government Code § 12940(k), by engaging in the course of conduct set forth in the General Allegations and all paragraphs stated above, among other things.
- 78. Specifically, Defendant Gucci failed to take any meaningful preventative action against those managers, supervisors, and employees who were harassing Plaintiff or enabling others to discriminate against and harass Plaintiff. If the Defendant has a written policy addressing the issue of sex (gender) harassment, the policy is not enforced and is consistently disregarded.
- 79. As a result of Defendant Gucci's failure to prevent the unlawful discrimination against and harassment of Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered and continue to suffer substantial (a) humiliation, serious mental anguish and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past and future earnings, and employment benefits and opportunities, on account of which Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, the exact amount and nature of which exceeds the jurisdictional

limits of this court but is presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.

80. As more fully set forth above, Defendant Gucci's failure to prevent the unlawful discrimination and harassment was intentional, malicious, wanton, oppressive, and fraudulent, with conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and amoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, within the meaning of California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendants.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO CORRECT AND REMEDY DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 81. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 82. Defendant Gucci failed to take all reasonable steps to investigate, correct and remedy the harassment of and discrimination against Plaintiff, in violation of California Government Code § 129400(j), by engaging in the course of conduct set forth in the General Allegations and all paragraphs stated above, among other things.
- 83. Specifically, Defendant Gucci was aware that Plaintiff was continuously harassed and discriminated against, yet Defendant Gucci failed to further investigate and to take immediate and appropriate corrective action to remedy the harassment and discrimination suffered by Plaintiff or to correct those managers, supervisors and employees who were allowing others to harass and discriminate Plaintiff.
- 84. As a result of Defendant Gucci's failure to investigate, correct or remedy the unlawful discrimination and harassment of Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer (a) substantial humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past and future earnings, and employment benefits and opportunities, on account of which Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages. The exact amount and nature of such damage exceed the jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek

leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.

85. As more fully set forth above, Defendant Gucci's failure to correct or remedy the unlawful harassment and discrimination was intentional, malicious, wanton, oppressive, and fraudulent, with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, within the meaning of California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damage in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendant.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RETALIATION FOR ENGAGING IN A PROTECTED ACTIVITY

AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 86. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 87. California Labor Code § 98.6 prohibits an employer from retaliating against an employee for filing a bona fide complaint, or a claim, or instituted any proceeding relating to his or her rights under the jurisdiction of the labor commission.
- 88. Plaintiff's aforementioned protected activity, as described hereinabove, was a motivating factor in Defendant Gucci's decisions that were adverse to Plaintiff, in regard to compensation and terms, conditions and privileges of employment.
- 89. Defendants retaliated against Plaintiff as manifested by several acts depicted under the General Allegations above. Specifically, Defendants subjected Plaintiff to harassment and discrimination by reason of her pregnancy, and for reasonably engaging in a lawful and protected activity, as more fully set forth in the General Allegations and all paragraphs stated above, amongst other things. Ultimately, Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment without valid justification.
- 90. Clearly, the aforementioned acts are discriminative against Plaintiff, and a violation against California Labor Code § 98.6. As a result of the foregoing wrongful conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to recover restitution damages in the form of payment of unlawfully withheld wages overtime, and commissions. Plaintiff is also entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant

to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5, the substantial benefit doctrine.

- 91. As a result, Plaintiff is entitled to recover all unpaid compensation against her, prejudgment interest, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit. The exact amounts of such damages are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.
- 92. The unlawful practices toward Plaintiff, as alleged in the General Allegations set forth above, were approved and ratified by Defendants by their failure to honor Plaintiff's rights under the aforementioned law to which she was entitled.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF FEHA, FMLA & CFRA

AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 93. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 94. Under the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"), Government Code §12940 et. seq., and more specifically, the California Family Rights Act ("CFRA") as well as FMLA, it is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to refuse to grant a request by any employee to take up to 12 workweeks in any 12 month period for family and medical leave. It is an unlawful employment practice for the employer to fail to guarantee, to each employee taking family or medical leave employment in the same or comparable position at the end of the family or medical leave. It is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to refuse to hire, to discharge, fine, suspend, expel, discrimination or harass an employee because of an employee's exercise of the right to family care and medical leave.
- 95. At all times mentioned in this complaint, Defendant Gucci was employer and employed more than 50 employees in a 75 mile radius of Plaintiff's place of work.
- 96. Plaintiff's protected status under the FEHA is Plaintiff's exercise of and/or attempts to exercise family and/or medical leave rights, and/or Plaintiff giving information and/or testimony in an inquiry and/or proceedings related to rights guaranteed under the California Family Rights Act. Plaintiff complied with all applicable notice requirements, if any, of Defendant Gucci, and of

- 97. Defendant Gucci knew, perceived, and/or believed that Plaintiff had the aforementioned protected status, described hereinabove.
- Act, as described hereinabove. Defendant Gucci failed to guarantee Plaintiff's employment in the same or comparable position at the end of family or medical leave; and/or refused to hire, discharged, fined, suspended, expelled, demoted, constructively discharged, refused to promote, failed to reinstate, discriminated against and/or harassed Plaintiff because of Plaintiff's exercise of and/or attempts to exercise family and/or medical leave rights and/or because of Plaintiff's giving information and/or testimony as to plaintiff's family and medical leave in an inquiry and/or proceedings related to rights guaranteed under the California Family Rights Act.
- 99. Plaintiff's exercise of, attempts to exercise and/or cooperation in providing information for family and medical leave was a motivating factor in Defendant Gucci's aforementioned decisions that were adverse to Plaintiff. Plaintiff's exercise of, attempts to exercise and/or cooperation in providing information for family and medical leave was a motivating factor in Defendant Gucci's aforementioned decision to actually refuse to hire Plaintiff; refuse to employ Plaintiff, failure to reinstate Plaintiff, failure to guarantee Plaintiff's employment in the same or similar position after family and medical leave, discriminate against Plaintiff, fine Plaintiff, suspend Plaintiff, and/or harass Plaintiff.
- 100. As a direct, legal, and proximate cause of Plaintiff's aforementioned protected status, Defendants discriminated and harassed Plaintiff by engaging in the course of conduct set forth in the General Allegations and all paragraphs stated above, amongst other things.
- retaliation, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer (a) substantial humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress; and (b) loss of past and future earnings, and employment benefits and opportunities, on account of which Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages. The exact amount and nature of such damages exceed the jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint

upon ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.

102. As more fully set forth above, Defendant Gucci's above referenced discrimination, harassment, and retaliation was committed intentionally, maliciously, wantonly, oppressively, and fraudulently with a conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff. Such acts amounted to oppression, fraud, and malice, as described in California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendant.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

WRONGFUL TERMINATION

AGAINST DEFENDANT GUCCI

- 103. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 104. Defendant Gucci violated the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA), California Government Code § 12940 et seq. by wrongfully terminating Plaintiff's employment because of her pregnancy.
- 105. The aforementioned acts of Defendant Gucci constitute wrongful termination in violation of public policy.
- 106. As a result of Defendant Gucci's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer from (a) substantial humiliation, serious mental anguish, emotional and physical distress, (b) loss of past and future earnings, employment benefits and opportunities, which Plaintiff is entitled to as compensatory damages. The exact amount and nature of such damages exceed the jurisdictional limits of this court, but are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon ascertaining such information or will prove the same at the time of trial.
- 107. As more fully set forth above, the acts of Defendant Gucci were intentional, malicious, wanton, oppressive and fraudulent, with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by

Plaintiff, within the meaning of California Civil Code §3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendants.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 108. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth in all previous paragraphs of the Complaint.
- 109. Defendants engaged in pregnancy discrimination against Plaintiff, and aided and abetted each other in engaging in illegal discrimination and harassment, thereby subjecting Plaintiff to the intentional infliction of emotional distress caused by such discrimination and harassment in violation of California Government Code § 12940.
- 110. Defendant Gucci failed to take immediate and appropriate remedial action to respond to Plaintiff's complaints of discrimination and harassment. Instead, Defendant Gucci ignored Plaintiff's request that the behavior be dealt with and allowed Plaintiff to be subjected to retaliatory action.
- abuse of the authority and position of Defendants, and each of them. Such conduct was intended to cause severe emotional distress, or was done with conscious disregard for the probability of causing such distress. Such conduct exceeded the inherent risks of employment and was not the sort of conduct normally expected to occur in the workplace. Defendant Gucci and its employees, the above-named individual Defendants, abused their positions of authority toward Plaintiff, and engaged in conduct intended to humiliate Plaintiff and convey the message that she was powerless to defend her rights.
- 112. As a proximate result of the aforementioned acts, Plaintiff has suffered embarrassment, anxiety, humiliation, serious mental anguish, and emotional and physical distress. Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in a sum that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of this court, but is yet to be ascertained. Plaintiff will either seek leave to amend this Complaint upon

7

12

13 14 15

> 17 18

19

16

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

ascertaining such information, or will prove the same at the time of trial.

113. As more fully set forth above, the acts of Defendants were intentional, malicious. wanton, oppressive, and fraudulent, with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent to vex, injure, punish, and annoy Plaintiff so as to cause the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, within the meaning of California Civil Code § 3294. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example out of Defendants.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 114. Each of the above paragraphs contained in this Complaint is hereby incorporated by reference at this point as if set forth herein full at length.
- 115. In carrying out the above conduct, Defendants, and their employees and agents. breached the duty owed to Plaintiff to provide a workplace free from discrimination, harassment, and retaliation, and abused their positions of authority towards her. Said conduct exceeded the inherent risks of employment and was not the sort of conduct normally expected to occur in the workplace.
- 116. Defendants, and their employees and agents knew, or should have known that the above conduct would cause Plaintiff serious emotional distress. As a proximate result of Defendants negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer extreme humiliation. embarrassment, anxiety, mental anguish, and emotional distress in an amount according to proof.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- Compensatory and actual damages in an amount to be proven at the time of trial; 1.
- For costs of the suit incurred herein; 2.
- For punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at the time of trial; 3.
- For reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to California Government Code § 12965 (b); and,

COMPLAINT

Case 2:15-cv-08330-DMG-FFM Document 1-1 Filed 10/23/15 Page 24 of 49 Page ID #:30

STATE OF CALIFORNIA | Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov I email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

July 29, 2015

Rodney Mesriani 510 Arizona Ave Santa Monica California 90401

RE: Notice to Complainant or Complainant's Attorney

DFEH Matter Number: 617764-173202

Right to Sue: Vaverkova / GUCCI AMERICA, INC.

Dear Complainant or Complainant's Attorney:

Attached is a copy of your complaint of discrimination filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code section 12900 et seq. Also attached is a copy of your Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue. Pursuant to Government Code section 12962, DFEH will not serve these documents on the employer. You or your attorney must serve the complaint. If you do not have an attorney, you must serve the complaint yourself. Please refer to the attached Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue for information regarding filing a private lawsuit in the State of California.

Be advised that the DFEH does not review or edit the complaint form to ensure that it meets procedural or statutory requirements.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

STATE OF CALIFORNIA | Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov I email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov

July 29, 2015

RE: Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint

DFEH Matter Number: 617764-173202

Right to Sue: Vaverkova / GUCCI AMERICA, INC.

To All Respondent(s):

Enclosed is a copy of a complaint of discrimination that has been filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) in accordance with Government Code section 12960. This constitutes service of the complaint pursuant to Government Code section 12962. The complainant has requested an authorization to file a lawsuit. This case is not being investigated by DFEH and is being closed immediately. A copy of the Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to the attached complaint for a list of all respondent(s) and their contact information.

No response to DFEH is requested or required.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

STATE OF CALIFORNIA I Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 | TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov | email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

July 29, 2015

Marcela Vaverkova 1559 Club View Dr. Los Angeles California 90024

RE: Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

DFEH Matter Number: 617764-173202

Right to Sue: Vaverkova / GUCCI AMERICA, INC.

Dear Marcela Vaverkova,

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective July 29, 2015 because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right to Sue notice, you must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

STATE OF CALIFORNIA | Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TDD 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov I email: contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov DIRECTOR KEVIN KISH

Enclosures

cc: Scott Fanslow

1 COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION 2 BEFORE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING Under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act 4 (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.) 5 6 In the Matter of the Complaint of DFEH No. 617764-173202 Marcela Vaverkova, Complainant. 7 1559 Club View Dr. 8 Los Angeles California 90024 9 VS. 10 GUCCI AMERICA, INC., Respondent. 11 50 Hartz Way Secaucus, New Jersey 07094 12 13 14 Complainant alleges: 15 1. Respondent GUCCI AMERICA, INC. is a subject to suit under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.). Complainant believes respondent is subject to the FEHA. 16 2. On or around July 29, 2015, complainant alleges that respondent took the following adverse actions against 17 complainant: Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation Denied a work environment free of discrimination and/or retaliation, Denied family care or medical leave, Denied pregnancy leave, Denied reasonable 18 accommodation, Terminated, Other, intentional and negligent infliction of emotional distress.. Complainant believes respondent committed these actions because of their: Engagement in Protected 19 Activity, Family Care or Medical Leave, Sex- Gender, Sex - Pregnancy, Other whistle blowing action, retaliation in violation of CFRA, FMLA and FEHA. 20 3. Complainant Marcela Vaverkova resides in the City of Los Angeles, State of California. If complaint 21 includes co-respondents please see below. 22 -5-Complaint - DFEH No. 617764-173202

H 902-1

Date Filed: July 29, 2015

Date Filed: July 29, 2015

H 902-1

Additional Complaint Details: I was discriminated against and harassed due to my pregnancy and gender. I was retaliated against for my engagement in a protected activity, my whistle blowing action and in violation of CFRA, FMLA and FEHA. As a result, I was wrongfully terminated.

H 902-1

VERIFICATION I, Rodney Mesriani, ESQ., am the Attorney for Complainant in the above-entitled complaint. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true. On July 29, 2015, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Santa Monica, CA Rodney Mesriani, ESQ. -8-

H 902-1

Case 2:15-cv-08330-DMG-FFM De	ocument 1-1 Filed 10/23/15	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar	number and address!	CM-010
regan Sharifi (SBN 290260)	nombo, and doubtsy.	CONFORMED COPY
5723 Melrose Avenue Los Angeles CA 90038		ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California
	(222) 242 4442	County of Los Angeles
TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 826-6300	FAX NO.: (323) 962-3668	AUG 2 8 2015
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Marcela Vaverko SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO		100 2 0 2 2 2 2 2
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	2 Wilheiro	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		By: Judi Lara, Deputy
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles CA 90012	•	
BRANCH NAME: Central		
CASE NAME: Marcela Vaverkova v. Gucci A	America, Inc. et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		CASE NUMBER: DO F O O
Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: BC 5 9 2 9 1 0
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	NDOE.
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) ow must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type that		on page 2).
Auto Tort	**	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04) Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	return del	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25)	Drugs (38) Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Employment	Pelition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is not comp	plex under rule 3.400 of the California Ru	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	·	
a. Large number of separately repres	·	er of witnesses
issues that will be time-consuming		with related actions pending in one or more court ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentar		ostjudgment judicial supervision
	·	
 Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. Number of causes of action (specify): 13 	monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
	s action suit.	= A - A
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		may use form CM-0/5.)
Date: 08/26/2015	<i>i</i>	IN IT I
Pegah Sharifi		The Hard
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OF ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the f	NOTICE irst paper filed in the action or proceeding	on (except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V	Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rul	les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover	er sheet required by local court rule	
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et al		u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.	•	•
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In Item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1. check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury) Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons

Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)

Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer**

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise. report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Claim Other Civil Petition

,		
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER	BC 5 9 2 9 1 0
Marcela Vaverkova v. Gucci America, Inc. et al.		BC a a b a r a

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This for	m is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new c		
Item I. Check	k the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length	of hearing expected for this case:	and the state of the
JURY TRIAL?	YES CLASS ACTION? \square YES LIMITED CASE? $[$	YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL	☐ HOURS/ ☐ DAYS
ltem II. Indica	ate the correct district and courthouse location (4 step	os – If you checked "Limited Case", sk	tip to Item III, Pg. 4):
	After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, left margin below, and, to the right in Column ${f A}$, the		
Step 2: 0	theck <u>one</u> Superior Court type of action in Column B	below which best describes the natur	e of this case.
	n Column C , circle the reason for the court location ch For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule		you have
*	Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthou	se Location (see Column C below)	
May be file Location was	ions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. ed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). where cause of action arose. where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. where performance required or defendant resides.	Location of property or permanently; Location where petitioner resides. Location wherein defendant/respond Location where one or more of the part of the part of Location of Labor Commissioner Office.	ent functions wholly.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A CNII Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Auto	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1,, 2,, 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (48)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1,, 2,, 4,
Other Personal Injury! Property Damage! Wrongful Death Tort	Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1,, 2,, 3,, 4,, 8,
	Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1,, 4, 1,, 4,
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1 ₅ , 4, 1 ₅ , 4, 1 ₄ , 3, 1 ₄ , 4,

SHORT TITLE: Marcela Vaverkova v. Gucci America, Inc. et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2,, 3.
	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
ial Inji rongfi	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
on-Person amage/ Wr	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1 _{.,} 2., 3.
20	Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
nent	Wrongful Termination (36)	🗷 A6037 Wrongful Termination	1.,63
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Contract	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2,, 5, 2,, 5, 1,, 2,, 5, 1,, 2,, 5,
	Collections (09)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff ☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
:	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1,, 2,, 5,, 8,
	Other Contract (37)	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
perty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	□ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6,
	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2,, 6,
lawful	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6,
5		ΓΊ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2,, 6,

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM

☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs

Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)

SHORT TITLE:

Marcela Vaverkova v. Gucci America, Inc. et al.

CASE NUMBER

Post Construction				
Pellition re Arbitration (11)			Type of Action	Applicable Reasons -
Other Judicial Review (39)		Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfelture Case	2., 6.
Other Judicial Review (39)	ńe₩	Petition re Arbitration (11)	□ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2,, 5.
Other Judicial Review (39)	cial Rev	Writ of Mandate (02)		1
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (63)	Judi			
Construction Defect (10)		Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
Trom Complex Case (41)	ion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1,, 2, 8,
Trom Complex Case (41)	itigat	Construction Defect (10)		1., 2., 3.
Trom Complex Case (41)	mplex I	1:	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort ·	1., 2., 8,
Trom Complex Case (41)	့ <u>န</u>	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Trom Complex Case (41)	visiona		☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance (21) A6121 Civil Harassment (Not Specified Above) (42) A6132 Workplace Harassment (Not Specified Above) (43) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) 2, 8.	Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)		1,, 2,, 5,, 8,
Partnership Corporation Governance (21) A6121 Civil Harassment (Not Specified Above) (42) A6132 Workplace Harassment (Not Specified Above) (43) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) 2, 8.		e	☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) 2, 9.	ب ب			
A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 2, 8, 9.	nen			I
A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 2, 8, 9.	gg g			Į.
A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 2, 8, 9.	info f Ju	or Judgment (20)		
RICO (27)	шо			1
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42) Partnership Corporation Governance (21) Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) Other Petitions (A6030 Declaratory Relief Only Declaratory Relief On	Troots again		☐ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
Partnership Corporation Governance (21) A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case 2., 8. A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) Other Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8.		RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance (21) A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case 2., 8. A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) Other Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8.	neou plair		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8,
Partnership Corporation Governance (21) A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case 2., 8. A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) Other Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8.		Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance (21) A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case 2., 8. A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) Other Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8.	Nisc Vil (☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8,
Covernance (21) A6121 Civil Harassment Civi	~ ʊ		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2, 8.
Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43) Other Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9.			•	2., 8.
☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 2., 3., 4., 8.			☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 2., 3., 4., 8.	Suo	Ī	☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 2., 3., 4., 8.	ane etiti			2., 3., 9.
☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 2., 3., 4., 8.	icell 레 P		•	I.
☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 2., 3., 4., 8.	₹ 3			:
				1

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM

3	3.000			
short title: Marcela Vaverkova 1	v. Gucci America, In	c. et al.		CASE NUMBER
				ence or place of business, performance, or other for filing in the court location you selected.
	propriate boxes for the nui type of action that you hav		ADDRESS: 1559 Club View	w Dr.
□1. ⊠2. ⊠3. □4	1. □5. □6. □7. □8. □	□9. □10.	sau tin si	
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:		nga ngga na mbakan mananan danga malipaggan, manan manan manan dangan naga panan manan manan manan manan manan
Los Angeles	CA	90024	ш	
and correct and that the Los Angeles	e above-entitled matter _District of the Superior (is properly file	d for assignment to	of the State of California that the foregoing is true the Central courthouse in the ngeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c)	anu (u)j.			1 1

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

1. Original Complaint or Petition.

Dated: 08/26/2015

- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (NON-CLASS ACTION)

Case Number

BC 5 9 2 9 1

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

Your case is assigned for all purposes to the judge indicated below. There is more information on the reverse side of this form.

ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM	ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	ROOM
Hon. Kevin C. Brazile	•	534	Hon. Elizabeth Allen White	48	506
Hon. Barbara A. Meiers	12	636	Hon. Deirdre Hill	49	509
Hon. Terry A. Green	14	300	Hon. John L. Segal	50	508
Hon. Richard Fruin	15	307	Hon. Mitchell L. Beckloff	51	511
Hon. Rita Miller	16	306	Hon, Susan Bryant-Deason	52	510
Hon. Richard E. Rico	17	309	Hon. Steven J. Kleifield	53	513
Hon. Stephanie Bowick	19	311	Hon. Ernest M. Hiroshige	54	512
Hon. Dalila Corral Lyons	20	310	Hon. Malcolm H. Mackey	55	515
Hon. Robert L. Hess	24	314	Hon. Michael Johnson	56	514
Hon. Yvette M. Palazuelos	28	318	Hon. Rolf M. Treu	58	516
Hon. Barbara Scheper	30	400	Hon, Gregory Keosian	61	732
Hon. Samantha Jessner	31	407	Hon. Michael L. Stern	62	600
Hon. Mary H. Strobel	32	406	Hon, Mark Mooney	68	617
Hon, Michael P. Linsield	34	408	Hon, William F. Fahey	69	621
Hon. Gregory Alarcon	36	410	Hon. Suzanne G. Bruguera	71	729
Hon. Marc Marmaro	37	413	Hon. Ruth Ann Kwan	72	731
Hon. Maureen Duffy-Lewis	38	412	Hon, Rafael Ongkeko	73	733
Hon. Elizabeth Feffer	39	415	Hon. Teresa Sanchez-Gordon	74	735
Hon. Michelle R. Rosenblatt	40	414	Hon. Gall Ruderman Feuer	78	730
Hon. Holly E. Kendig	12	416			
Hon. Mel Red Recans	(45)	529	Hon, Emile H. Elias	324	ccw
Hon. Frederick C. Shaller	46	500	*Provisionally Complex Non-class Action Cases		
Hon, Debre K. Weintraub	47	507	Assignment is Pending Complex Determination	324	CCW

*Complex

All non-class action cases designated as provisionally complex are forwarded to the Supervising Judge of the Complex Litigation Program located in the Central Civil West Courthouse (600 S. Commonwealth Ave., Loz Angeles 90005), for complex/non-complex determination pursuant to Local Rule 3.3(k). This procedure is for the purpose of assessing whether or not the case is complex within the meaning of Callfornia Rules of Court, rule 3.400. Depending on the outcome of that assessment, the case may be reassigned to one of the judges of the Complex Litigation Program or reassigned randomly to a court in the Central District.

Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record on AUG 28 20 SHERRI R. CARTER, Executive Officer/Clerk

Deputy Clerk

- NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT **UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE**

INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING UNLIMITED CIVIL CASES

The following critical provisions of the Chapter Three Rules, as applicable in the Central District, are summarized for your assistance.

APPLICATION

The Chapter Three Rules were effective January 1, 1994. They apply to all general civil cases.

PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Chapter Three Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Individual Calendaring Court will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS: All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days of filing.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS: Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answerfiled. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

A Status Conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Catendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the comple Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and experiments.

FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties at a status conference not more than 10 days before the trial to have timely filed and served all moti in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested jury instructions, and special jury verdicts. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least 5 days before this conference counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be reached jury panel as required by Chapter Eight of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, a time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party or if appropriate counsel for the party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore no guarantee against the imposition of sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with t actual Chapter Rules is absolutely imperative.

VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS



Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles



Los Angeles County Par Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County
Bar Association Labor and
Employment Law Section





Scalinira California Defense Counsel





Castornia Esoployment Lawyers Association

The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- ◆Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section◆
 - Los Angeles County Bar Association
 Labor and Employment Law Section
 - ♦ Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles ♦
 - ◆Southern California Defense Counsel◆
 - ◆Association of Business Trial Lawyers◆
 - ◆California Employment Lawyers Association◆

313314		
NAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	STATE BAR MAKBER	Pleasured for Cent's File Stump
ATTORNEY FOR (Name)	NO. (Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	COUNTY OF LOS ANGEL	<u>-E8</u>
PANTIFF:		*
DEFENDANT:		
STIPULATION - DISCOVER	RY RESOLUTION	CASE NAMES TO

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

The parties agree that:

- Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an Informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this atipulation.
- At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in writing.
- Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
 - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
 - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
 - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
 - iii. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
 - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
 - Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached);
 - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

	SKRTTINE		
1	-	CASE HUMBER:	
-		•	
,			

- iii. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filing.
- No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days folkwing the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filling of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the Informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the data of filling of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.

It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this atipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).

- Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying ex parte for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- 7. Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

SHORT TITLE			CASE NILMOCH
			CAP COME PANNICULTY,
	4		•
Ylun önst	man Africa and a state of the s		
i ua ioii	owing parties stipulate:	•	•
Date:	•		
valo.			•
*	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	> _	
Date:	**************************************		(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
*		> 3	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	·	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
,	w *	, ,	
· ·	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_ > _	
Date:	Ž		(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	_ ▶	•
Date:	(TIPE OR PIGHT NOGE)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
51		>	*
N-4	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		ATTORINEY FOR
Date:	•	_	WILLIEU
 	(TYPE OR PRENT NAME)	<u> </u>	
Date:	And the same of th		(ATTORUSEY FOR
·		>	*
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	IATTORE VENS

÷

HAME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT AT	roresy:	REGISTANT FLAS BTATE	
			Reserved for Clerk's Pile Stores
		`	ľ
•			
		•	1
TELEPHONE NO.	FAX NO.	(Optional):	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Options!): ATTORNEY FOR [Name):			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CA	LIEODANA GA		
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	FILOUME CO	UNITY OF LOS ANGELE	S
*	4		(******
PLAINTIFF:		×.	
The Control of the Co	t grante i k		**************************************
DEFENDANT:		3.4.1. (N. 4.1.)	
TOTAL TERRITORIA TOTAL T	T E COM		
STIPULATION - EAR	I V ODGANIZA	TOMA	CASEMANER
	- ONUANIZA	VI IUNAL MEETING	
A Comment			

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

The parties agree that:

- The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following;
 - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading issues so that a demurrer need only raise issues they cannot resolve. Is the issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
 - Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an employment case, the employment records, personnal file and documents relating to the conduct in question could be considered "core." in a personal injury case, an incident or police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered "core.");
 - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
 - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment;
- e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
- Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
- g. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

Case 2:15-cv-08330-DMG-FFM Document 1-1 Filed 10/23/15 Page 46 of 49 Page ID #:52 SHOST TITLE CASS MUSICIP discussed in the "Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Information Package" served with the h. Computation of damages, including documents, not privileged or protected from disclosure, on which such computation is based; i. Whether the case is suitable for the Expedited Jury Trial procedures (see information at www.fasuperforcourt.org under "Civil" and then under "General Information"). The time for a defending party to respond to a complaint or cross-complaint will be extended 2. __for the complaint, and MUSERT DATE for the cross-MINSERT DATE complaint, which is comprised of the 30 days to respond under Government Code § 68616(b), and the 30 days permitted by Code of Civil Procedure section 1054(a), good cause having been found by the Civil Supervising Judge due to the case management benefits provided by this Stipulation. A copy of the General Order can be found at www.lesuperlorcourt.org under "Civil", click on "General Information", then click on "Voluntary Efficient Litigation Stipulations". The parties will prepare a joint report littled "Joint Status Report Pursuant to Initial Conference 3. and Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, and if desired, a proposed order summarizing results of their meet and confer and advising the Court of any way it may assist the parties' efficient conduct or resolution of the case. The parties shall attach the Joint Status Report to the Case Management Conference statement, and file the documents when the CMC References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this alipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day The following parties stipulate: Oato. (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF) Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT) Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT) Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT) Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR Date: (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (ATTORNEY FOR Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(ATTORNEY FOR

NAC SERVICES OF AT	ORNEY ON PARTY WITHOU	П АПТОРЫSY:		STATE BAR HUMBER	R		Respo	ed for Clark's File Storey
TELEPHO MAIL ADDRESS (O ATTORNEY FOR UPERIOR O	offonel):	ALIFOR		(Optional):				
URTIKUSE ADORI VNTSFF:	38:		inana, co	UNIT UP L	<u>JS ANGEL</u>	ES		
ENDANT:		\$						
· (pursu	INFORMAL I	DISCOV	ERY CO	NFERENCE	witae)	e e	SE HUMBER:	
1. This do	cument related	s to:						
. 8	A MARKET IN	vodnesi.	ror Informa	y Conference at Discovery () Conference			
2. Deading	e for Court to (decide o	n Request			ari dolo 41	3 colonder d	laya following (8
On Carrie	in							
man scholner	ay.		_		· · ·	,	o cercionis (waya watessay is
3. Deadline days follow 4. For a F	ny. In for Court to I Ing Ming of the Recu Inguismat for	hold Infor	mai Diaco	very Confere	nce:	•	<u>·</u> (*)	nort dain 20 cal
3. Deadline depretion 4. For a finite discovery Request Request	a). 9 for Court to 1	hold informal informal icluding	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conference Conference and legal :	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> tryuments	descri	be the	nert date 20 cale
3. Deadline days tolong 4. For a findiscove Request	o for Court to I ing thing of the Raquest for I by dispute, in for Informal	hold informal informal icluding	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conference Conference and legal :	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> tryuments	descri	be the	nert dain 20 cal
3. Deadline days tolong 4. For a findiscove Request	o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal icluding	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conference Conference and legal :	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> tryuments	descri	be the	nert date 20 cale
3. Deadling deys tolong 4. For a findlescove Request the requirements [o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal icluding	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conference Conference and legal :	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> trguments ly describe gal argume	descri	be the	nert date 20 cale
3. Deading days tolong the request the request	o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal icluding	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conferency Conference Indiana in the second in the se	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> trguments ly describe gal argume	descri	be the	nert dain 20 cal
3. Deadline deys tolon 4. For a findlacove Request the requirements of the requiremen	o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal icluding	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conference Conference and legal :	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> trguments ly describe gal argume	descri	be the	nert date 20 cale
3. Deading days tolong the request the request	o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal icluding	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conferency Conference Indiana in the second in the se	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> trguments ly describe gal argume	descri	be the	nert date 20 cale
3. Deading days tolong 4. For a findiacove Request the requirements of the requirement	o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal scluding Discove ary, inclu	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conferency Conference Indiana in the second in the se	nce: ce, <u>briefly</u> trguments ly describe gal argume	descri	be the	nert date 20 cale
3. Deading days tolong the request the request	o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal scluding Discove ary, inclu	mal Discove Discove the facts	very Conferency Conference Indiana in the second in the se	nce: co, inteffy ryuments ly describe gal argume	descri	be the	nert date 20 cale
3. Deading days tolong the request the request	o for Court to ing sing of the Request for I tequest for I ry dispute, in for Informal ested discove	hold informal informal scluding Discove ary, inclu	mai Discove the facts bry Confe tolling the	very Conferency Conference Indiana in the second in the se	nce: co, inteffy ryuments ly describe gal argume	descriations why times at i	be the	nert date 20 cale

MANIE AND ADDRESM OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNE	ETATE BAR MUNDER	
		Phonorrod for Clark's Pile Stagup
•	The state of the s	-
	•	
TELEPHONE NO.: E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	FAX NO. (Optional):	
ATTIVIALEY COMPANIES.	- i	1
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIF	FORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	<u></u> :
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
		1.
STIPULATION AND O	RDER - MOTIONS IN LIMINE	CARE HAMEEN
	MOINT IN LIMINE	
*		1

This stipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

The parties agree that:

الم ع

- 1. At least ____ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion in limine. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
 - a. Whether the parties can stipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so stipulate, they may file a stipulation and proposed order with the Court.
 - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- 3. All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

Case 2:15-cv-08330-DMG-FFM Document 1-1 Filed 10/23/15 Page 49 of 49 Page ID #:55

	CARE NUMBER
The following parties stipulate:	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) Date:	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) ste:	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) te:	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(ATTORNEY FOR
COURT SO ORDERS.	(ATTORNEY FOR
ite:	- Agr